



SMART Approach

Personalizing the Management of Knee Osteoarthritis

Benedict J. Alter, MD, PhD¹

¹ Department of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

1. Introduction

Knee osteoarthritis (OA) is common, debilitating, and heterogeneous in clinical presentation, making a personalized approach essential. In 2020, an estimated 595 million people globally (7% of the population) live with OA with the knee being affected in ~50% of cases.^(1,2) From 1990 to 2020, OA ranked as the 14th highest cause of age-adjusted years lived with disability.⁽²⁾ In addition to considerable economic impact⁽¹⁾, knee OA profoundly affects quality of life, through functional impairment and pain.⁽³⁾ Clinically, knee osteoarthritis presents as pain, particularly with weight bearing, and stiffness in the morning or with prolonged sitting that improves within 30 minutes.⁽⁴⁾ Randomized clinical trials have shown that multiple treatments are efficacious, including physical therapy, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), duloxetine, joint injections, and joint replacement.⁽⁴⁾ However, there is considerable heterogeneity in clinical presentation, impact, and course of knee OA.⁽⁵⁻⁹⁾ Moreover, the current “trial-and-error” management of knee OA focuses primarily on joint pathology, neglecting amplifying pain processing in the nervous system and psychosocial mechanisms. Personalizing treatment selection by identifying relevant mechanisms in each patient and incorporating treatments that address these mechanisms holds promise for improvement of knee OA management.

2. Key Points

- Although historically defined by cartilage degeneration, knee osteoarthritis pain and functional impairment only moderately correlate with radiographic findings.
- Heterogeneity at the level of the joint, nervous system, cognition, coping strategies and social determinants of health influence knee pain and functional impairment.

- The degree of amplifying pain processing may explain some heterogeneity in clinical presentation.
- Identifying mechanistically-relevant heterogeneity through surveys, quantitative sensory testing, wearable devices, and noninvasive imaging shows promise but requires further research.

3. Background and State of the Science

Knee osteoarthritis is characterized by micro- and macroscopic joint tissue injury, maladaptive repair responses, and complex anatomic and physiological changes associated with cartilage degradation, bone remodeling, osteophyte formation, and inflammation, ultimately leading to “joint failure” which *can* lead to pain and disability.⁽¹⁰⁾ Implicit in this definition is that even with structural evidence of joint failure, patients experience a range of pain and functional impairment. Radiographic osteoarthritis only moderately correlates with these outcomes. It is likely that this heterogeneity arises from variation at multiple mechanistic levels. Complexity at the level of the joint contributes to patient-to-patient heterogeneity, including the degree and pattern of cartilage degeneration, synovial inflammation, and bone marrow lesions.⁽¹¹⁻¹³⁾ Amplified pain processing in the pain-sensing nervous system is associated with worse pain and functional impairment.^(14,15) Large observational studies have identified population heterogeneity in pain amplification using quantitative sensory tests.^(16,17) Cognitive and affective factors including expectations about pain and treatment responses⁽¹⁸⁾, pain catastrophizing^(19,20), fear of movement⁽²⁰⁻²²⁾, depression⁽²⁰⁾, and anxiety⁽²⁰⁾ contribute to knee pain, functional impairment, and treatment responses. Social function and interaction also impact pain and impairment.^(23,24) Socioeconomic factors associated with worse pain and functional impairment include educational attainment, economic status, and racialized identity.⁽²⁰⁾

Abbreviations used in this paper: OA, osteoarthritis; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; PT, physical therapy.

Keywords: chronic pain, musculoskeletal pain, pain management, knee osteoarthritis

© 2026 by SMART- MD Publishing, Pittsburgh PA
This article may not be reproduced in any form without written consent of SMART-MD Publishing LLC.

ISSN 2997-2876 (online)

ISSN 2997-2868 (print)

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.69734/tw5z4c10>

Website: www.SMART-MD.org

Although many conceptualize knee osteoarthritis as a joint-level disease with pain and functional impairment as a consequence, it is likely that the biopsychosocial factors play key roles in the joint pathophysiology with positive feedback loops worsening joint pathophysiology. For example, worse pain is associated with worse ambulatory function which is associated with worse radiological outcomes.⁽⁴⁾ These complex loops have implications for treatment as well. Physical therapy (PT) is a first-line treatment for knee OA thought to maintain function and improve outcomes.⁽⁴⁾ However, like many knee OA treatments, only a subset of patients benefit.^(25,26) Additionally, knee pain can limit participation in PT with worse outcomes in those who drop out early in a treatment course.⁽²⁷⁻²⁹⁾ One might predict that weight loss would improve pain by reducing joint load and affecting structural aspects of the joint. However, a large prospective study found that pain sensitization and depression were improved rather than radiographically-identified joint lesions.⁽³⁰⁾ The success of joint replacement also appears to depend not only on joint degenerative change but also on biopsychosocial factors outside the joint, including immune, neurobiological, psychological, and social factors.⁽³¹⁻³⁴⁾ Although current research strongly implicates “whole-person” biopsychosocial factors in knee osteoarthritis, the relative importance of each factor for a given patient and whether tailored treatment plans based on comprehensive biopsychosocial assessments are superior to current practice requires further research.

4. Current practice: “How I do it”

For a given patient presenting with knee osteoarthritis, it becomes important to assess biopsychosocial factors comprehensively in addition to joint assessments. Joint assessments should rule out inflammatory arthritis, crystal-line arthritis, and soft tissue lesions.⁽⁴⁾ Comprehensive “whole-person” assessments are routinely done with any new patient. However, it is important to specifically assess for common comorbidities that contribute to the varied presentation of knee OA, including mood disorders and obesity for example. Patient-reported outcomes can be used to assess multiple biopsychosocial domains, even in a busy clinical environment. Computerized multidomain assessments can be administered prior to the clinical

encounter in the waiting room, be reviewed by clinicians prior the visit, and guide treatment discussions. Although objective measures of pain amplification may play a future role, currently pain sensitization may be assessed by history (e.g. multiple pain comorbidities, widespread pain), exam (e.g. “pain out of proportion” to palpation or exam maneuver), or even pain characteristics. For example, knee OA patients who report neuropathic pain quality, e.g. “burning” or “tingling,” on the PainDETECT questionnaire had neurobiological evidence of pain amplification which was associated with worse outcomes after knee replacement.⁽³⁵⁾ Therefore, in patients without pain sensitization, joint-focused treatments may be sufficient, such as conventional PT or NSAIDs. If these fail, then more invasive treatments may be considered, including injections and knee replacement. However, in those with evidence of pain sensitization, duloxetine may be considered prior to invasive treatments.

5. Future Directions

Comprehensive biopsychosocial data will be increasingly available and actionable for the management of knee osteoarthritis. Research tools include noninvasive imaging of both the joint and nervous system⁽³⁶⁻³⁸⁾, wearable devices to track activity and physiologic responses^(39,40), and objective sensory assessments to identify sensitization.^(15,41) Large prospective datasets, such as the Acute to Chronic Pain Signatures study, will help focus attention on pathophysiologic heterogeneity relevant to important clinical outcomes, like chronic pain after joint replacement.⁽⁴²⁾ It is also likely that learning health systems will integrate some of these comprehensive assessments and, through data analytics, provide decision support to clinicians and patients considering invasive treatments for knee osteoarthritis.⁽⁴³⁾ Overall, the research reviewed above highlighting biopsychosocial heterogeneity in knee OA helps explain variation seen in the clinic between patients with apparently similar looking knee imaging. Comprehensive assessments can help clinicians and patients choose treatments that are likely to help them. Future work will provide greater certainty in these predictions, truly personalizing knee OA management.

References

1. Leifer VP, Katz JN, Losina E. The burden of OA-health services and economics. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. Jan 2022;30(1):10-6. DOI:10.1016/j.joca.2021.05.007.
2. Collaborators GBDO. Global, regional, and national burden of osteoarthritis, 1990-2020 and projections to 2050: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. *Lancet Rheumatol*. Sep 2023;5(9):e508-22. DOI:10.1016/S2665-9913(23)00163-7.
3. Zhao X, Shah D, Gandhi K, et al. Clinical, humanistic, and economic burden of osteoarthritis among noninstitutionalized adults in the United States. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. Nov 2019;27(11):1618-26. DOI:10.1016/j.joca.2019.07.002.

4. Katz JN, Arant KR, Loeser RF. Diagnosis and treatment of hip and knee osteoarthritis: A Review. *JAMA*. Feb 9 2021;325(6):568-78. DOI:10.1001/jama.2020.22171.
5. Deveza LA, Melo L, Yamato TP, Mills K, Ravi V, Hunter DJ. Knee osteoarthritis phenotypes and their relevance for outcomes: a systematic review. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. Dec 2017;25(12):1926-41. DOI:10.1016/j.joca.2017.08.009.
6. Costa D, Lopes DG, Cruz EB, et al. Trajectories of physical function and quality of life in people with osteoarthritis: results from a 10-year population-based cohort. *BMC Public Health*. Jul 21 2023;23(1):1407. DOI:10.1186/s12889-023-16167-9.
7. Neelapala YVR, Neogi T, Kumar D, et al. Exploring different models of pain phenotypes and their association with pain worsening in people with early knee osteoarthritis: The MOST cohort study. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. Feb 2024;32(2):210-9. DOI:10.1016/j.joca.2023.09.003.
8. Radojcic MR, Arden NK, Yang X, et al. Pain trajectory defines knee osteoarthritis subgroups: a prospective observational study. *Pain*. Dec 2020;161(12):2841-51. DOI:10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001975.
9. Swain S, Coupland C, Mallen C, et al. Temporal relationship between osteoarthritis and comorbidities: a combined case control and cohort study in the UK primary care setting. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. Sep 1 2021;60(9):4327-39. DOI:10.1093/rheumatology/keab067.
10. Kraus VB, Blanco FJ, Englund M, Karsdal MA, Lohmander LS. Call for standardized definitions of osteoarthritis and risk stratification for clinical trials and clinical use. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. Aug 2015;23(8):1233-41. DOI:10.1016/j.joca.2015.03.036.
11. Roemer FW, Felson DT, Stefanik JJ, et al. Heterogeneity of cartilage damage in Kellgren and Lawrence grade 2 and 3 knees: the MOST study. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. May 2022;30(5):714-23. DOI:10.1016/j.joca.2022.02.614.
12. Roemer FW, Jansen MP, Maschek S, et al. Fluctuation of bone marrow lesions and inflammatory MRI markers over 2 years and concurrent associations with quantitative cartilage loss. *Cartilage*. Oct 26 2024;19476035241287694. DOI:10.1177/1947603524-1287694.
13. Wang X, Chen T, Liang W, et al. Synovitis mediates the association between bone marrow lesions and knee pain in osteoarthritis: data from the Foundation for the National Institute of Health (FNIH) Osteoarthritis Biomarkers Consortium. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. Sep 2022;30(9):1270-7. DOI:10.1016/j.joca.2022.06.004.
14. Finan PH, Buenaver LF, Bounds SC, et al. Discordance between pain and radiographic severity in knee osteoarthritis: findings from quantitative sensory testing of central sensitization. *Arthritis Rheum*. Feb 2013;65(2):363-72. DOI:10.1002/art.34646.
15. Alter BJ, Maurer M, O'Connell B, et al. Deficits in temporal pain inhibition are associated with greater pain and functional impairment in osteoarthritis. *Pain*. Nov 1 2025;166(11):2490-509. DOI:10.1097/j.pain.00000000000003648.
16. Frey-Law LA, Bohr NL, Sluka KA, et al. Pain sensitivity profiles in patients with advanced knee osteoarthritis. *Pain*. Sep 2016;157(9):1988-99. DOI:10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000603.
17. Carlesso LC, Segal NA, Frey-Law L, et al. Pain susceptibility phenotypes in those free of knee pain with or at risk of knee osteoarthritis: the multicenter osteoarthritis study. *Arthritis & rheumatology (Hoboken, NJ)*. Apr 2019;71(4):542-9. DOI:10.1002/art.40752.
18. Neogi T, Colloca L. Placebo effects in osteoarthritis: implications for treatment and drug development. *Nature reviews Rheumatology*. Oct 2023;19(10):613-26. DOI:10.1038/s41584-023-01021-4.
19. Edwards RR, Cahalan C, Mensing G, Smith M, Haythornthwaite JA. Pain, catastrophizing, and depression in the rheumatic diseases. *Nature reviews Rheumatology*. Apr 2011;7(4):216-24. DOI:10.1038/nrrheum.2011.2.
20. Tan Yijia B, Goff A, Lang KV, et al. Psychosocial factors in knee osteoarthritis: Scoping review of evidence and future opportunities. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. Oct 2024;32(10):1327-38. DOI:10.1016/j.joca.2024.05.015.
21. Kiliç H, Karahan S, Atilla B, Kinikli G. Can fear of movement, depression and functional performance be a predictor of physical activity level in patients with knee osteoarthritis? *Arch Rheumatol*. Sep 2019;34(3):274-80. DOI:10.5606/ArchRheumatol.2019.7160.
22. George SZ, Allen KD, Alvarez C, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with high-impact chronic pain in knee osteoarthritis: the Johnston County Health Study. *J Pain*. Sep 27 2024;104687. DOI:10.1016/j.jpain.2024.104687.
23. Bartley EJ, Hossain NI, Gravlee CC, et al. Race/ethnicity moderates the association between psychosocial resilience and movement-evoked pain in knee osteoarthritis. *ACR Open Rheumatol*. Mar 2019;1(1):16-25. DOI:10.1002/acr2.1002.
24. Karayannis NV, Baumann I, Sturgeon JA, Melloh M, Mackey SC. The impact of social isolation on pain interference: a longitudinal study. *Annals of behavioral medicine: a publication of the Society of Behavioral Medicine*. Jan 1 2019;53(1):65-74. DOI:10.1093/abm/ka-y017.
25. Skou ST, Rasmussen S, Laursen MB, et al. The efficacy of 12 weeks non-surgical treatment for patients not eligible for total knee replacement: a randomized controlled

- trial with 1-year follow-up. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. Sep 2015;23(9):1465-75. DOI:10.1016/j.joca.2015.04.021.
26. Skou ST, Roos EM, Laursen MB, et al. A Randomized, Controlled Trial of Total Knee Replacement. *N Engl J Med*. Oct 22 2015;373(17):1597-606. DOI:10.1056/NEJMoa1505467.
 27. Hodges PW, Smeets RJ. Interaction between pain, movement, and physical activity: short-term benefits, long-term consequences, and targets for treatment. *Clin J Pain*. Feb 2015;31(2):97-107. DOI:10.1097/AJP.000000000000098.
 28. Lee AC, Harvey WF, Price LL, et al. Dose-response effects of tai chi and physical therapy exercise interventions in symptomatic knee osteoarthritis. *PM R*. Jul 2018;10(7):712-23. DOI:10.1016/j.pmrj.2018.01.003.
 29. Pua YH, Seah FJ, Poon CL, Tan JW, Liaw JS, Chong HC. Association between rehabilitation attendance and physical function following discharge after total knee arthroplasty: prospective cohort study. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. Apr 2017;25(4):462-9. DOI:10.1016/j.joca.2016.10.020.
 30. Jafarzadeh SR, Neogi T, Stefanik JJ, et al. Mediating role of bone marrow lesions, synovitis, pain sensitization, and depressive symptoms on knee pain improvement following substantial weight loss. *Arthritis & rheumatology (Hoboken, NJ)*. Mar 2020;72(3):420-7. DOI:10.1002/art.41125.
 31. Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, Florencio LL, de-la-Llave-Rincón AI, et al. Prognostic factors for postoperative chronic pain after knee or hip replacement in patients with knee or hip osteoarthritis: an umbrella review. *J Clin Med*. Oct 19 2023;12(20). DOI:10.3390/jcm1220-6624.
 32. Barroso J, Branco P, Pinto-Ramos J, et al. Subcortical brain anatomy as a potential biomarker of persistent pain after total knee replacement in osteoarthritis. *Pain*. Oct 1 2023;164(10):2306-15. DOI:10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002932.
 33. Giordano R, Ghafouri B, Arendt-Nielsen L, Petersen KK. Inflammatory biomarkers in patients with painful knee osteoarthritis: exploring the potential link to chronic postoperative pain after total knee arthroplasty—a secondary analysis. *Pain*. Feb 1 2024;165(2):337-46. DOI:10.1097/j.pain.0000000000003042.
 34. Kurien T, Kerslake RW, Graven-Nielsen T, et al. Chronic postoperative pain after total knee arthroplasty: The potential contributions of synovitis, pain sensitization and pain catastrophizing—An explorative study. *Eur J Pain*. Oct 2022;26(9):1979-89. DOI:10.1002/ejp.2018.
 35. Soni A, Wanigasekera V, Mezue M, et al. Central sensitization in knee osteoarthritis: relating presurgical brainstem neuroimaging and PainDETECT-based patient stratification to arthroplasty outcome. *Arthritis & rheumatology (Hoboken, NJ)*. Apr 2019;71(4):550-60. DOI:10.1002/art.40749.
 36. Oo WM, Linklater JM, Bennell KL, et al. Are OMERACT knee osteoarthritis ultrasound scores associated with pain severity, other symptoms, and radiographic and magnetic resonance imaging findings? *J Rheumatol*. Feb 2021;48(2):270-8. DOI:10.3899/jrheum.191291.
 37. Roemer FW, Jarraya M, Hayashi D, et al. A perspective on the evolution of semi-quantitative MRI assessment of osteoarthritis: Past, present and future. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. Apr 2024;32(4):460-72. DOI:10.1016/j.joca.2024.01.001.
 38. Weerasekera A, Knight PC, Alshelh Z, et al. Thalamic neurometabolite alterations in chronic low back pain: a common phenomenon across musculoskeletal pain conditions? *Pain*. Jan 1 2024;165(1):126-34. DOI:10.1097/j.pain.0000000000003002.
 39. Hoffman RM, Davis-Wilson HC, Hanlon S, et al. Maximal daily stepping cadence partially explains functional capacity of individuals with end-stage knee osteoarthritis. *Pm r*. Jun 2024;16(6):532-42. DOI:10.1002/pmrj.13082.
 40. Wiperman MF, Lin AZ, Gayvert KM, et al. Digital wearable insole-based identification of knee arthropathies and gait signatures using machine learning. *Elife*. Apr 30 2024;13 DOI:10.7554/eLife.86132.
 41. Vigotsky AD, Cong O, Pinto CB, et al. Prognostic value of preoperative mechanical hyperalgesia and neuropathic pain qualities for postoperative pain after total knee replacement. *Eur J Pain*. Jun 8 2024;DOI:10.1002/ejp.22-95.
 42. Wager TD, Sutherland SP, Lindquist MA, Sluka KA. Accelerating discovery in pain science: the Acute to Chronic Pain Signatures program. *Pain*. Nov 1 2025;166(11s):S95-8. DOI:10.1097/j.pain.00000000000003674.
 43. Mackey S, Darnall B, Kao MC. Digital twin learning health systems and multimodal biomarkers transform pain care. *Pain*. Nov 1 2025;166(11s):S106-10. DOI:10.1097/j.pain.0000000000003779.

Corresponding Author:

Benedict Alter, MD, PhD

Center for Innovation in Pain Care
 Dept. of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine
 University of Pittsburgh
 5750 Centre Ave, Ste 210
 Pittsburgh, PA 15206
 412-677-0575
 alterbj@upmc.edu
<https://www.anesthesiology.pitt.edu/people/benedict-alter-md-phd>

Contributions:

BA was the sole contributor to this manuscript.

Conflicts of interest:

Dr. Alter is a co-founder, clinical advisor, and holds equity interest in Synapse Symphony.

Funding:

This work was supported by the University of Pittsburgh and the National Institutes of Health (NINDS K23NS123429).